

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/897,861	07/02/2001 7590 07/07/2003	Verlyn H. Paulson	54396USA9C.011	2876		
3M INNOV	3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 334 ST. PAUL, M	OX 33427 PAUL, MN 55133-3427 NGUYEN, THUKHANH T					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1722			
		DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N		Applicant(s)	4
			J	PAULSON ET AL.	
		09/897,861			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	'
		Thu Khanh T.	Nguyen	1722	ess
	MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on the co	ver sheet with th	le correspondence aua.	
eriod for Repl	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	DEDLY IS SET TO F	XPIRE 3 MON	TH(S) FROM	
THE MAILIN  - Extensions of after SIX (6) N  - If the period fc  - If NO period fc  - Failure to repl  - Any reply receedance patent	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAtime may be available under the provisions of 3 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do or reply is specified above, the maximum statute by within the set or extended period for reply will, sived by the Office later than three months after term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, heation. ays, a reply within the statutory by period will apply and will ex	minimum of thirty (30 bire SIX (6) MONTHS	pe timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this con	nmunication.
itatus	ponsive to communication(s) filed	on .			
<i>'</i>		)⊠ This action is no	n-final.		
/-	addon to the	llawanaa aycent fo	or formal matter	s, prosecution as to the	e merits is
clos Disposition of	ed in accordance with the practice of Claims	e under Ex parte dua	yle, 1935 C.D. ′	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4\⊠ Clain	n(s) 2.15 and 19-21 is/are pendir	ng in the application.			
4a) C	of the above claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is.	/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.		
	m(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>2, 15, 21</u> is/are rejected.				
	m(s) is/are objected to.				
7)☐ Clair	m(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election rec	uirement.		
Application P					
O\□ Tho	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
40) Tho	drowing(s) filed on is/are: a	a)[☐ accepted or b)[☐ o	bjected to by the	Examiner.	
		oction to the drawing(s) D	ie neid in abeyaii	Ce. See 37 Of It 1.00(a)	or
11)[☐ The	proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) ap	proved b) als	approved by the Examin	lei.
lf a	approved, corrected drawings are req	uired in reply to this Offi	ce action.		
12) The	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.			
Deionity unde	or 35 II S C. 88 119 and 120				
13)□ Ack	knowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)∏ A	None of:				
1 [	Cortified copies of the priority	documents have beer	received.		
2.[	Contified copies of the priority	documents have been	n received in Ap	pplication No	
3.[	Copies of the certified copies application from the Intern	of the priority docume lational Bureau (PCT in for a list of the certif	nts have been i Rule 17.2(a)). ïed copies not i	received in this National received.	
* See	the attached detailed Office action of a claim for the community of a claim for the community of the communi	or domestic priority U	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provision	al application)
14) Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim to the foreign lar	or domestic pricing an	plication has be	een received.	
a)	] The translation of the foreign laid the toreign laid the translation of the foreign laid the translation of the foreign laid.	for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)			4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper I	No(s)
A) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> .	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (I	PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trade	mark Office	Office Action Summa	rv	Part of Paper No.	3

Art Unit: 1722

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 2, 15 and 21, drawn to a mold, classified in class 425, subclass 363.
  - II. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to a composite sheet, classified in class 428, subclass34.1.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the mold product as claimed can be made by another materially different apparatus, in which the mold can be formed by extruding liquid material through an extruder.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation between examiner Barbara Musser (1733) and Mrs. Carolyn Fisher on February 13, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 2, 15 and 21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 19 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1722

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Specification

- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the statuses of patent applications in the specification need to be updated (cross reference area on page 1, 5, and on page 8).
- 7. Also, there are two Cross Reference to Related Applications sections in the disclosure (page 1 and page 5). Only one section is needed.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2, 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raley (4,155,693).

Art Unit: 1722

Raley teaches a mold comprising a tooling (20-23) having a first end and a second end welded together at a joining line (20a-23a) forming a cylindrical sleeve, or a lumen, which has an outer patterned surface (20d-c) and an inner surface pressing against a cylindrical drum (10).

Raley fails to disclose that the joining line having a width of about 0.0025 mm to about 0.2 mm and having a weld penetration of less than about 100% of the tooling thickness.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the joining line of the cylindrical sleeve to have different size and welding penetration depending on the size and the material of the tooling, so that the ends of the tooling are secured together during the molding process. In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-7167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1722

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

TN June 26, 2003

SAWE THE HOLD

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300 / 200

6/30/03